The Walking Tube in Central London

A feasibility and implementation guide July 2018

(VAXANA)

18

韻



łH

111

CROSS RIVER Partnership



The CLSRTP boroughs are City of London Corporation, City of Westminster, London Borough of Camden, London Borough of Islington, London Borough of Lambeth, London Borough of Southwark, London Borough of Wandsworth and Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea.

For further information about this report, please contact <u>crp@crossriverpartnership.org</u>.



Contents



Executive summary	
Introduction	
Benefits of 'walking tube' interventions	
Changing perceptions	
Walking Tube Link Examples	
Example 1: City of London/Chancery Lane area	
Example 2: Paddington-Euston corridor	
Example 3: Waterloo-Elephant & Castle-London Bridge triangle	
Walking Tube Intervention Types	
Promoting out of station interchanges	20
Low Pollution Walking Routes	24
Tranquil Places and Street Types	
Working with local Business Improvement Districts (BIDs)	28
Bringing existing lines above ground	
Wayfinding	32
Deciding what to sign	
Colour coded street furniture	
Engage. Animate. Transform (E.A.T.)	35
Legible London	
Station signage	
Public Art & Culture	37
Wayfinding technology	
Next Steps for the Walking Tube	
Step by step guide	
Sources and Further Reading	40
Maps	

> <u>Executive</u> summary

Cross River Partnership has been commissioned by the Central London Subregional Transport Partnership (CLSRTP), a collective of transport officers from central London's eight local authorities, to undertake a study into promoting walking as a means to alleviate an overcrowded and heavily used tube network. The CLSRTP boroughs are City of London Corporation, City of Westminster, London Borough of Camden, London Borough of Islington, London Borough of Lambeth, London Borough of Southwark , London Borough of Wandsworth and Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea.

The main objective of this study is to provide a palette of interventions that promote the 'walking tube' approach, promoting walking between some of the busiest tube stations in central London to alleviate overcrowding.

The interventions range from changing perceptions of the network to using wayfinding technology to encourage walking trips. These identified interventions will be shared with the CLSRTP borough partners, Transport for London, Business Improvement Districts (BID), town centre managers and other interested parties that could lead on delivering on these interventions.

A recent TfL study showed that **3.6 million of the 13 million trips made using motorised modes could be walked**, at least in part. The potential health benefits delivered by London's streets go beyond the physical activity that people get from walking in the city.

For further information, contact <u>crp@crossriverpartnership.org</u> Through encouraging increased walking, this report supports delivery of the 'Healthy Streets' approach championed in the Mayor's Transport Strategy (MTS) and the target for 80% of Londoner's trips to be on foot.

Walking tube interventions offer cleaner air, less noise, more connected neighbourhoods, improved physical fitness, better mental health and wellbeing for Londoners. Encouraging walking increases social traffic on our streets that in turn generates economic traffic.

This report also aims to increase Londoner's daily physical activity. The Mayor's Transport Strategy wants 70% of Londoners achieve 20 minutes of active travel a day. Example interventions have been proposed to help commuters achieve their daily active travel targets. The report summarises some example interventions, delivered by different stakeholders, highlighting the benefits of taking these alternative approaches to signposting and wayfinding for short, link trips instead of taking the tube.

It identifies 6 'next steps' for organisations that are seeking to undertake similar work in their areas to implement and monitor walking tube interventions.

CRP would like to see the walking tube rolled out pan-London so that other boroughs and partner organisations can begin to investigate what approaches would be suitable for them to implement and contribute towards a modal shift to more walking journeys across London.



Introduction

In central London, over 100 journeys between underground stations are actually <u>quicker when</u> <u>made on foot</u> compared to other modes¹.

In addition, walking is a great way of exploring London.

Walking makes it <u>easier</u> for visitors, workers and residents to visit businesses, reduce exposure to air pollution and keep them fit and healthy.

The 'walking tube' concept is based on addressing two key issues:

Increasing Londoners' daily physical activity: Walking is a free and a simple way to get more active. A brisk 10-minute daily walk has a noticeable positive impact on both physical and mental health².

Reducing overcrowding on underground trains and stations during peak hours: Many stations in central London are regularly forced to close temporarily during peak hours to avoid dangerous levels of overcrowding on platforms, Oxford Circus, for example, has to close on average every three days³.

In 2015 Transport for London launched a <u>Walking Tube</u> <u>Map</u> showing the walking times between stations on the same line, and then in August 2016 launched a follow-up <u>Steps Tube Map</u> showing the number of steps between stations. Often these routes are not clearly signposted above ground; missing the opportunity to promote a healthier walking option.

This document aims to act as a guide to promoting walking and other forms of active travel for short trips within Central London based around the concept of the **'walking tube'**.

The Mayor's Transport Strategy has put 'healthy streets' at the heart of proposals to reshape transport in the capital for the next 25 years and the successful implementation of the 'walking tube' concept will be a key component of this in the central London context. The potential of London boroughs to realise the transformational ambitions within the new <u>Mayor's</u> <u>Transport Strategy</u> (MTS) is great.

Boroughs have the necessary insights as to what makes their local area work and how best to manage their streets to create healthy places for people to live, work and visit.

We need to make it easier and more enjoyable to walk around London. We all hop on the Tube to take short journeys around central London... the new steps map will encourage more of us to walk these short journeys instead - it's good for our helath and it will help support London's small businesses.

Sadiq Khan, 2016

To help identify if an intervention is suitable for a specific area, each section has been summarised based on potential level of impact on increasing walking trips (on a scale of 1-5 with 1 being no impact to 5 very high impact). The summary suggests timescales to implement (short, medium, long), some estimated costs and which stakeholders could initiate the intervention.

For further information, contact crp@crossriverpartnership.org



¹ <u>Walking people at your service</u> (Living Streets)

² Walking for health (NHS)

³ <u>Oxford Circus Tube station 'closes every three days'</u> <u>for overcrowding</u> (BBC)

> Benefits of 'walking tube' intervention

London boroughs key role to play in the implementation of the new <u>Mayor's</u> <u>Transport Strategy</u> is realised through their Local Implementation Plan (LIP3) applications.

The 2018/19 <u>LIP guidance</u> specifically highlights the need to create healthy streets for healthy people.

Encouraging people to walk instead of taking the tube has many benefits including:

Improving both the **physical and mental health** of Londoners. Physically active people have up to a 30% reduced risk of becoming depressed.

- Increasing **economic activity** on the streets, activating underused areas. The Mayor has emphasised that creating a safe walking environment can positively impact on the night economy. A clear, safe and well lit walking and cycling environment is also essential to encourage active travel at all times.
- Promoting areas of **cultural interest and green spaces**. Evidence suggests that being physically active in the outdoors and in green environments is more beneficial to general wellbeing than indoor activities.
- **Developing communities** and opportunity for interaction between Londoners from all walks of life.
- Promoting a walking culture and contributing to **a modal shift** away from private cars. Promoting walking is a 'best buy' both for health and for active travel. Well-designed walking initiatives are recognised as excellent value for money. They deliver benefit-to-cost ratios of between 3 to 1 and 20 to 1, and with costs per quality year of life gained that are considerably less than those thought reasonable for clinical interventions.

Contributing to the wider case for **increased greening**, **supporting sustainable urban drainage and reducing air pollution**.

⁴ Why you should take a walking lunch (Living Streets)

- ⁵ <u>Culture and night time economy Supplementary</u> <u>Planning Guidance</u> (GLA)
- ⁶ Thompson-Coon J, Boddy K, Stein K, Whear R, Barton J, Depledge MH. Does participating in physical activity in outdoor natural environments have a greater effect on physical and mental wellbeing than physical activity indoors? A systematic review. Environ Sci Technol 2011, 45:1761–1772
- ⁷ NICE. Walking and Cycling: Local Measures to Promote Walking and Cycling as Forms of Travel or Recreation (NICE public health guidance 41, 2012)

A person who is active every day reduces their risk of:

Type 2 diabetes



Coronary heart disease



Hip fracture

36-68% ~

Death

20-35% 🗸

Depression



Alzheimer's disease



Breast cancer



Colon cancer



There are significant health benefits associated with encouraging people to walk more. (Source: TfL)



Interventions made above ground to encourage people to walk instead of taking the tube also support the wider healthy streets agenda providing widespread benefits for all.

This 'walking tube' report offers various low cost but high impact ways that boroughs can encourage more walking and meet some of the outcomes under the healthy streets banner.





10 Healthy Street indicators



and do





Outcomes and Indicators



Changing perceptions

The Tube map is <u>iconic</u> to London's identity, and tube and rail stations act as key <u>anchors</u> for both visitors and Londoners alike to <u>visualise</u> and mentally map the city.

However, the Tube map can in some cases be misleading for travellers about the distances between stations, which are sometimes easily walkable. In many cases, station names influence peoples' perception of a particular area such as High Street Kensington, West Hampstead or Clapham Junction⁸. The simplicity of the Tube map, based on underground lines and interchanges, allows people to navigate across the city by Tube with relative ease. Nevertheless, the misrepresentation of stations in relation to their true above ground locations – particularly in their geographic relation to one another – acts as a hindrance to promoting short walking trips in Central London.

On the traditional Tube map, zones 1 and 2 appear disproportionately large. In Central London the distance between stations, particularly those on different lines, often appears much greater than it is. The current 'walking tube' map provides information on the walking times and number of steps between stations on the same Tube line, but does not link surrounding stations on different lines.

There are many potentially successful 'walking tube' interventions which could join up stations on different lines, going beyond simply encouraging someone to walk a stop or two along an existing line. Whilst many regular commuters and residents may know that certain stations are very walkable, despite their placing on the Tube map, highlighting this to the occasional visitor has the potential to greatly increase short walking trips that would otherwise be made by Tube. Furthermore, there exists the possibility to 'create' completely new walking links between Tube stations on several different lines when encouraging people to walk above ground.

In addition to the great health and transport outcomes, promoting the walking tube could also deliver the following outcomes:

- Increased footfall and pedestrian safety in previously under visited areas
- More visibility and sales for businesses along the route
- Reduced air pollution exposure from use of the underground
- Increased community cohesion

More opportunities for urban realm improvements; cultural development and social interactions.

Cross River Partnership recently delivered an innovative walking project with partners (London Borough of Southwark, Southwark Living Streets, and the Newington Estate Residents Association) on the <u>Newington Estate</u> part of the '<u>Walk Elephant</u>' initiative.

Building on CRP's pioneering work using lighting to overcome railway viaduct barriers to walking and movement, the <u>Light at the End of the Tunnel</u>. The project involved the design and installation of a colourful, dynamic lighting scheme at the Steadman Street entrance of The Newington Estate. This enlivened the space and encouraged walking between bus connections on Walworth Road and Kennington tube station.

⁸ Legible London Yellow Book (TfL, p.19)



> Walking Tube Link Examples

Local Authority officers delivering local schemes in their boroughs can use the '<u>real</u> <u>distance tube map</u>' to identify walking routes by linking up stations on different Tube lines.

> Such opportunities are particularly prevalent, but by no means exclusive to, the areas used as examples in this section; the City of London/Chancery Lane area, the Paddington to Euston corridor, and stations in Waterloo-Elephant & Castle-London Bridge triangle.

> TfL have also published a useful document of stations where it is <u>quicker to walk than take the tube</u>, which also highlights 50 station pairs that are quicker to walk between than taking the Tube, or Overground, many 'linking' Overground stations to nearby tube lines.

Example 1

City of London —— Chancery Lane area

Station A	* Distance/Walking time	Station B
Bank	— 300m — 5 mins —	– Cannon Street ■
Bank	450m6 mins	Mansion House
Barbican	600m 8 mins	_ St Paul's
Farringdon	— 650m — 8 mins —	— Chancery Lane
Farringdon 🔫	1000m12 mins	— St Paul's
Monument	900m11 mins	– Liverpool Street ≉
St Paul's	— 550m — 7 mins —	– Mansion House
St Paul's		— Blackfriars
St Paul's	— 750m — 10 mins — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	– Cannon Street ➡
Temple	— 1000m —12 mins ———	– Holborn
Temple	— 1100m —14 mins ———	- Chancery Lane

Keys

Neys	Metropolitan
Bakerloo	Northern
Central	Piccadilly
Circle	Victoria
District	Waterloo & City
Hammersmith &City	DLR
Jubilee	🗧 🖯 Overground 嵀 TFL Rail

The standard Tube map is useful for identifying walkable routes between the west and east of the City of London/Chancery Lane area. However, walkable northsouth routes are much harder for the casual traveller to identify. From the new Farringdon-Barbican station serving the Thameslink and Elizabeth lines in addition to three Tube lines, both Chancery Lane and St Paul's can be reached quiet comfortably by foot in under ten minutes. District and Circle line stations to the south of the Central line are also easily walkable, and have the potential to be linked with stations further north in the area on walking routes that anchor via Central line stations.

Stations such as Farringdon and Barbican appear much further from the Central line and District line stations on the Tube map than they are. (Source: TfL)



Example 2

Paddington — Euston corridor

Station A 🔥 Di	stance/Walking time	Station B
Bayswater	250m — 3 mins — — —	Queensway
Bayswater	850m — 11 mins ——	Royal Oak
Euston Square	700m — 9 mins — — —	Goodge Street
Euston Square	260m — 4 mins — — —	Warren Street
Great Portland Street –	500m — 7 mins — — —	Warren Street
Marble Arch	1100m — 15 mins ———	Edgware Road
Marylebone	550m — 8 mins —	Baker Street
Marylebone	550m — 8 mins —	Edgware Road
Paddington	550m — 8 mins — —	Lancaster Gate
Regents Park ————	210m — 3 mins — — —	Great Portland Street
Royal Oak	1000m—14 mins ——	Warwick Avenue

Keys

neys	Metropolitan
Bakerloo	Northern
Central	Piccadilly
Circle	Victoria
District	Waterloo & City
Hammersmith &City	DLR
Jubilee	⊖Overground ≹ TFL Rail

The location of stations in the north-western corner of zone 1 from Paddington to Euston look very different on the Tube map. The District/Circle line running between Notting Hill Gate and Paddington appears to run on a north-south trajectory, yet in reality it runs on an east-west routing parallel to the central line. Many stations on different lines are within easy walking distance from each other in the area. These walking routes also pass through shopping areas boosting the impact of the pedestrian pound. There is particular potential for walking trips to connect mainline stations to other nearby tube lines, most notably Marylebone to Baker Street and Edgware Road, and Paddington to Lancaster Gate.



Example 3

Waterloo-Elephant & _____ Castle-London Bridge triangle

Station A	* Distance/Walking time	Station B
Southwark —	—— 850m —— 11 mins ————	— Blackfriars 🔫
Southwark	— 1000m — 13 mins — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	— Borough
Southwark —	—— 850m —— 11 mins ————	— Lambeth North

Waterloo is the busiest station on the London Underground network⁹. It is particularly crowded at peak times, yet quieter alternative stations are only a short walk away. Stations along the Circle/District line on the northern side of the Thames are also well connected to areas around the Southbank, potentially avoiding the need to change at Waterloo or Embankment. Walking Tube interventions in the area could provide a welcome relief to stations operating at capacity, encouraging travellers to use Lambeth North (Bakerloo line), Southwark (Jubilee line) and Borough (Northern line).

These stations serve similar catchment areas to their busier counterparts; Borough station is only an 8 minute (450m) walk from London Bridge, and Southwark and Lambeth North are only 10 minutes (800m) from Waterloo. An improved walking environment, including adequate wayfinding, would provide a good incentive for both commuters and occasional travellers to walk to alternative stations within the areas – particularly if an increase in walking time was counteracted by street to platform access times when using quieter stations. Southwark station (linked to Waterloo East) is also directly on a north-south thoroughfare providing quick walking access to the area around Blackfriars.

Metropolitan
Northern
Piccadilly
Victoria
Waterloo & City
DLR
🗧 🖯 Overground 夫 TFL Rail

⁹ London Underground Facts and Figures (TfL)



Walking Tube Intervention Types

Interventions based around the 'walking tube' can have a variety of different purposes, and once an area has been selected the next step should be to find a <u>suitable walking route</u> which can be <u>implemented</u> and promoted.



This section provides some ideas for approaches which can be taken for a 'walking tube' intervention.

Promoting out of station interchanges

Out of station interchanges (OSIs) allow customers using London's tube and rail network to interchange between stations without being charged for two separate journeys.

> OSIs are time limited to assure that passengers are genuinely passing through the stations on a single journey; for example Kentish Town and Kentish Town West are 700m apart (roughly a 9 minute walk) and have an OSI time limit of 20 minutes.

At present there exist **132 official OSIs**. Other suitable pairs of stations where a 'walking tube' intervention could be implemented exist, even though they are not currently recognised as OSIs by the Oyster/Contactless payment system.

Out of the 24 example station pairs highlighted in the previous section, only four are currently recognised at OSIs.

OSIs help in providing a financial incentive (or making sure there is no financial disincentive) attached to walking instead of taking the tube. They have the potential to act as a strong 'pull' factor and a quick win for boroughs. By promoting existing OSIs, and working in partnership with London Underground to create new ones, there is the potential for OSIs to work as a key component of the 'walking tube' concept.

Current availability of OSIs in report focus areas:



Station A 🛛 🕅 🕅 🕅 🕅 🕅	istance/V	Valking time	Station B	Availability
Bayswater	— 250m —	— 3 mins ———	Queensway	X
Bayswater	— 850m —	— 11 mins———	– Royal Oak	X
Euston Square ———	— 700m —	— 9 mins ———	Goodge Street	X
Euston Square	— 260m —	— 4 mins	- Warren Street	✓
Great Portland Street -	— 500m —	—7 mins ——	- Warren Street	X
Marble Arch	— 1100m -	— 15 mins ———	– Edgware Road	X
Marylebone	— 550m —	— 8 mins ———	– Baker Street	X
Marylebone	_ 550m —	— 8 mins ———	– Edgware Road	X
Paddington	— 550m —	— 8 mins ———	- Lancaster Gate	\checkmark
Regents Park	— 210m —	— 3 mins ———	Great Portland Stre	et 🗙
Royal Oak	- 1000m-	— 14 mins ———	– Warwick Avenue	X





There is potential for promoting out of station interchanges to commuters.

At present there isn't an official process in requesting these interchanges to be recognised by Transport for London/ London underground.

Cross River Partnership is in a great position as the lead for the CLSRTP to approach Transport for London and discuss setting up a process. The CLSRTP boroughs are City of London Corporation, City of Westminster, London Borough of Camden, London Borough of Camden, London Borough of Islington, London Borough of Southwark, London Borough of Southwark, London Borough of Wandsworth and Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea.

Eow Pollution Walking Routes

On key routes where high footfall already exists between stations (e.g. between Kings Cross St Pancras and Euston) the onus of a 'walking tube intervention' may be to provide a safe, legible walking route which also reduces the individual's exposure to harmful pollutants, particularly for routes which experience high levels of vehicular traffic.

> Tools such as Walkit's <u>Urban</u> <u>Walking Route Finder</u> and can be used to identify the least polluted routes between stations which may be suitable for a 'walking tube' intervention.



CRP's Clean Air Route Finder -

Through the Mayor's Air Quality Fund, the Mayor supported the Clean Air Better Business (CABB) project to develop an interactive map of London that allows you to put in any route and be shown a low pollution walking option. Using funding from the Mayor, CABB created a tool that enables all of the project's Business Improvement District partners to place this interactive map on their websites.

CABB undertook monitoring of some clean air routes which showed between **30-60 per cent lower** air pollutant concentrations on the clean air walking routes compared to main street routes.

Air pollution is high on the agenda and encouraging routes that help reduce pedestrian exposure is key to getting more people walking. This can be implemented through having the Clean Air Route Finder widget embedded on your organisations website.

The <u>Wellbeing Walk</u> between St Pancras and Euston provides a good example of linking two busy stations together through the identification and promotion of a low pollution route avoiding the Euston Road. A similar approach could be taken to promote a cleaner air walking route between Marylebone and Baker Street station, as well as similar such interventions linking National Rail and Tube stations in and around the City of London which are already frequently walked, yet often along more polluted streets.



>Tranquil Places and Street Types

Encouraging Londoners to de-stress, and discover tranquil places in Central London has the potential to be an important driver in promoting the 'walking tube'. Tranquil City's <u>Tranquil Pavement</u> tool, maps the city based on noise levels and tranquillity, and encourages users to upload and share photos of tranquil spaces.



Perception Provide Provide Providence Perception

In line with the element of 'things to see and do' which acts as one of the <u>Healthy Streets</u> indicators, such an approach could be applied to 'walking tube' interventions by emphasising and highlighting the existing sense of 'place' along a route.

TfL's <u>Street Types</u> also acts as a useful resource in identifying streets with high 'place' value and/or low vehicular movement which can be used to select particularly appealing 'walking tube' routes.

V III	pact
• •	$\bullet \bullet \bigcirc$
∨ Tin	nescale
	Quick win),
Mediur	n , Long
V Co	sts
£Free -	online tool
V Po	tential delivery lead
Boroug	h, BID, Town centre ement, Landowners

A tranquil place identified on the route between Southwark and Waterloo stations (Source: Tranquil City)



Working with local Business Improvement Districts (BIDs)

Boroughs should actively engage with local businesses / business improvement districts (BIDs) to explore how they can work in partnership to encourage walking.



Work with BIDs to encourage additional walking trips by raising awareness of where it is quicker to walk than take the tube. This can be delivered simply through highlighting walking routes on area maps published by a local BID, or through BID member businesses and destinations publishing maps and routes on their 'how to get here' webpage information for visitors.

For example <u>New West End</u> <u>Company</u> has committed to working even closer with Westminster City Council and Transport for London to implement the walking strategy for the core West End, creating more spaces for pedestrians.

In preparation for the arrival of the Elizabeth Line they aim to:

- Implement an oasis scheme with Westminster City Council and Transport for London delivering at least five upgrades by 2020 to increase consumer dwell time and greater pedestrian movement
- Improve the wayfinding and signage to encourage greater pedestrian movement north and south of Oxford Street

Serving Service Ser

Alongside identifying new station pairs, bringing existing Tube lines to life above ground is a very effective way of increasing walking trips in Central London, building upon existing links and lines which are already familiar and recognisable to visitors as well as Londoners. A small number of stations could be selected, for example the central core of the Piccadilly Line, or an entire Tube line (see examples below). Identifying an existing underground link between two stations, users can make use of existing landmarks and wayfinding mechanisms to undertake the journey above ground on foot. This method is particularly effective in areas where are highly frequented by tourists, increased wayfinding is likely to be necessary, examples of which can be found in the following section.

Example 'walking tube' focus areas based on existing Tube routes

Tube line Station chain









Wayfinding

Each 'walking tube' scheme should be context specific, yet they must all include some forms of wayfinding.

This section suggests <u>different</u> wayfinding methods, which could be implemented as part of a 'walking tube' intervention.



Deciding what to sign

It is important to decide what exactly to sign based on the nature of the intervention. In some cases you may sign people to a specific location or area (e.g. signing from Farringdon to Chancery Lane).

> In other cases you may highlight an existing Tube above the ground (e.g. the Bakerloo line from Regents Park to Charing Cross). Any potential route should be audited for existing street furniture and wayfinding to avoid duplication or unnecessary confusion.

Colour coded street furniture



Coloured benches can help mark a route (Source: Andy Martin)

\checkmark	Impact
•	$\bullet \bullet \bullet \circ$
\checkmark	Timescale
	ort (Quick win) to dium, Long
\checkmark	Costs
	Costs 00 - £5000+ depending complexity of scheme
on	00 - £5000+ depending

Most appropriate for highlighting an existing Tube route above ground.

In line with the Healthy Streets indicator of 'places to stop and rest', fitting benches strategically along a route that reflect the colour of the Tube line can provide both an interesting and useful wayfinding mechanism.

Existing street furniture such as bins, lampposts or planters can also be utilised to the same effect – providing gentle reassurance to the pedestrian that they are on the right route.

Engage. Animate. Transform (E.A.T.)



An E.A.T. project poster (Source: Edible Bus Stop)



The Edible Bus Route from Clapham Common to Crystal Palace identified sites along the route to be used as design-led community growing spaces and neighbourhood 'hubs' through engaging the community, animating identified spaced along the route, and transforming them. This approach would be very effective when applied to a 'walking tube' intervention; a route punctuated by pocket parks, hanging baskets, or planters would serve as an innovative wayfinding tool, and to improve the quality of the urban realm. In central London, Business Improvement Districts (BIDs) have a good potential to help deliver an E.A.T. project.

Legible London



The Legible London wayfinding system celebrated its 10th anniversary in 2017 and is already commonplace in central London. Legible London attempts to provide enough information for pedestrians to find their way around above ground, highlighting key landmarks and Tube stations. Cross River Partnership were one of the original pioneers of Legible London¹⁰.

When undertaking a 'walking tube' intervention above ground, a full Legible London audit should be undertaken. In many cases, additional signage can be added to existing Legible London schemes to give pedestrians, particularly visitors, more confidence in navigating the Tube map above ground. It is also important to check that the Legible London base map is correct, sometimes key walking 'short cuts' may not appear and an amendment to the base map will need to be made.



✓ Impact✓ Timescale✓ Costs✓ Potential delivery lead● ● ● ○ ○Short (Quick win),
Medium, Long£* dependent
on permissionsTransport for London

Station signage

Having signage inside London Underground stations is also a way of increasing the success of a 'walking tube' intervention. Putting appropriate signage will need the approval of the station's area manager.

Public Art & Culture

Cross River Partnership is working with partners in Wandsworth Council on a project on <u>Ravenet Street</u>. This is a dimly-lit pedestrian and cyclist shortcut that was surveyed using the Healthy Streets check. There was huge support for improving the 'things to see and do' aspect of improvements. This project is utilising public art to improve the walking experience between Queenstown Road station and the Doddington and Rollo estate.

With the backdrop of the Mayor's draft Culture Strategy, boroughs drafting their Local Implementation Plan-3 applications and having the Healthy streets drive within the Mayors Transport Strategy – there is great scope to make better linkages between public art in the urban realm encouraging walking and cycling journeys.



Wayfinding technology



 Impact Impact 	
V Timescale	
Short (Quick win), Ma	edium, Long
V Costs	
Free - £50, most of th	e apps are free*
\vee Potential delivery	lead
Boroughs, BIDs, busir landowners could cou and routes that are by residents/visitors/stal	mmunicate apps est suited to their

It is also important to know how people use technology as a source of information for getting around the city.

Some applications for routes between two points using various different apps and web pages including the following:

- Apple Maps
- 🔶 <u>Bing Maps</u>
- City Mapper
- Google Maps
- 0 <u>Moovit</u>
- <u>TfL Journey Planner</u>
- ♦ <u>Transit</u>
- Wikiroutes

¹⁰-Legible London-A wayfinding study (Central London Partnership - 2006)

Next Steps for the Walking Tube

The <u>next steps</u> are to examine which of the suggested interventions could be trialled in your area. For example, perhaps identify an area that would benefit from a 'Clean air walking route' then utilise wayfinding technology to signpost visitors or employees to the best routes to walk to your site. The potential <u>next steps</u> have been summarised in 6 quick steps to implement your own walking tube intervention.

Step by step guide

Step 1

Site selection

Decide the area. Define an appropriate approach. For example reducing crowding at a certain station, promoting a route along an existing line; linking up new station pairs.

Step 2

Engagement with Key local stakeholders

Ensure that advice has been sought from relevant stakeholders (borough, TfL, businesses, residents etc.) as to suitability of the area.

Step 3

Site audit

Audit the area; arrange a site visit with key stakeholders if suitable. It is important to have some preimplementation monitoring as a basiline for comparison post-implementation.

Step 4

Implementation

If funding is required, ensure this has been secured prior to delivery. Check if any permissions, licenses or permits are required. Ensure there is some promotional plan on how the intervention will raise public awareness of the intervention.

Step 5

Promote your route

Work with stakeholders to ensure that your intervention is communicated to a wide audience. Cross River Partnership will support partners through social media channels to generate more coverage.

Step 6

Assess the impact

Aim to do a post implementation review a few months after to gather stakeholders feedback.

Sources and Further Reading

- Walking people at your service (Living Streets)
- ♦ Walking Tube Map, (TfL)
- Steps Tube Map, (TfL)
- Mayor's Transport Strategy, (GLA)
- Walking for health (NHS)
- Oxford Circus Tube station 'closes every three days' for overcrowding (BBC)
- Local Implementation Plan guidance 2018/19 (TfL)
- Geographically accurate tube map (Mapping London)
- Walking times between stations on the same line (TfL)
- Guide to Healthy Streets indicator TfL)
- Legible London Yellow Book (TfL)
- The Pedestrian Pound (Living streets)
- London Underground Facts and Figures (TfL)
- Out of station interchanges (TfL)
- Urban Walking Route Finder (Walk-It)
- Clean Air Route Finder (Cross River Partnership)
- Wellbeing Walk (Urban Partners London)
- Tranquil Pavement toll (Tranquil City)
- Street Types for London(TfL)
- Legible London (TfL)
- Analysis of walking potential (TfL)
- Why you should take a walking lunch (Living Streets)
- Legible London-A wayfinding study (Central London Partnership 2006)
- Culture and night time economy Supplementary Planning Guidance (GLA)
- Thompson-Coon J, Boddy K, Stein K, Whear R, Barton J, Depledge MH. Does participating in physical activity in outdoor natural environments have a greater effect on physical and mental wellbeing than physical activity indoors? A systematic review. Environ Sci Technol 2011, 45:1761–1772
- NICE. Walking and Cycling: Local Measures to Promote Walking and Cycling as Forms of Travel or Recreation (NICE public health guidance 41, 2012)







Cross River Partnership 5 Strand London WC2N 5HR

💊 02076412198

K crossriverpartnership.org

♥ @CrossRiverPship

⊠ crp@crossriverpartnership.org

in /cross-river-parthnership



CROSS RIVER Partnership